

---

## RESOURCE EVALUATION

---

Identified as part of the basin goals and objectives is the need to identify and care for historic and archaeologic sites, protect outstanding natural features, scenic values, and the quantity and quality of prime recreation waters, and maintain and enhance fish and wildlife populations and their habitat. Rivers that possess outstanding fish and wildlife, recreational, aesthetic, or geologic values can be designated as Idaho protected rivers. An assessment of the effects of protection on other identified resource uses is undertaken prior to designation. An initial attempt to assess these values in the Henrys Fork basin has been documented by the Pacific Northwest Rivers Study. Following is a matrix of stream segment assets based on the Pacific Northwest Rivers study, with resource data updated to reflect current information. The matrix was used to help identify and evaluate stream segments with "outstanding" natural and recreational resource values.

### Aesthetic and Geologic Values

Aesthetic and geologic values are addressed in the first two columns of the matrix. Aesthetic features are noted vistas and canyon environments. Geologic features are: waterfalls, canyons, caves, glacial features, active meander complexes, hot, warm, or cold springs, or an exceptional display of bedrock structural features. Three criteria were used to evaluate these features: (1) scarcity, (2) quality, and (3) scientific value. Scarcity refers to the distribution of the feature both within the state



Lower Mesa Falls on the Henrys Fork

and worldwide. Quality refers to the relative physical condition of a natural feature in comparison to other known occurrences of the same feature. The scientific value of a feature or a given site refers to its usefulness and importance as an educational resource. Scarcity, quality, and scientific value determinations weighed the final scenic/natural features evaluation for a stream segment. Only "Outstanding" = 1, or "Substantial" = 2, ratings were recorded on this matrix. The features of note for each stream segment are listed in the second column.

## **Fishery Values**

The following components were included in the resident fish resource assessments: habitat quality, species present and their current status, migration corridors, research sites, abundance of catchable sport fish, angler effort, quality of angling experience and potential fishery and habitat value. Resident fish include game fish and non-game fish.

High (=1), Intermediate (=2) and Low (=3) quality habitats were defined as those which provide optimum, satisfactory and poor environmental conditions, respectively, for the species present. Environmental factors considered in evaluating habitat quality included temperature, water quality parameters, instream flow, substrate composition, availability of instream cover, food abundance, and quality of riparian habitat.

Fish species of High (=1) concern are wild or native gamefish species of regional importance. In the Henry's Fork this would encompass cutthroat trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish. Species of Intermediate (=2) concern are all gamefish species except as noted above; all native nongame species in natural, unimpounded environments; and exotic nongame fish that serve as a forage base for a species of high concern. Species of Low (=3) concern are all exotic nongame species not noted above, and native nongame fish populations in altered habitats.

Levels of fish abundance (High = 1, Intermediate = 2, and Low = 3) were correlated with catch per unit effort, actual population size based on field sampling data, or resource expert consensus estimates. Levels of angler use (High = 1, Intermediate = 2 and Low = 3) are expressed as fisherman-days per unit area, or are resource expert consensus estimates. For estimate purposes the following guidelines were used. High - supports a renowned fishery as evidenced by the number of anglers who come specifically to fish this particular stream segment, anglers from a national or statewide area. Intermediate - supports a fishery utilized by anglers from a 3-4 county area. Low - supports a fishery used by local anglers.

## **Wildlife Values**

The criteria used to assess wildlife resources were habitat quality, species composition and abundance, recreational use or potential, and geographic importance. Noted use of habitat for nesting, winter range, calving, or migration is listed under the Critical Use column on the matrix. Final wildlife values recorded on the matrix were further modified by IDWR staff to reflect water-associated species or land-associated species as follows:

- 1 = Outstanding wildlife value  
primarily water-associated animals, e.g., muskrat, beaver, bald eagle, swans
- 2 = Outstanding wildlife value  
primarily land-associated animals, e.g., bear, deer, moose
- 3 = Substantial wildlife value

Wildlife values are logged as "Outstanding" or "Substantial" for most of the stream segments evaluated in the Henry's Fork basin. This contrasts, however, with other river basins within the state which show substantially lower wildlife values for their respective streams. The Henry's Fork basin is unique on a state and regional scale in wildlife abundance.

## **Recreational Values**

In evaluating the recreational value of a stream segment it is impossible to rank all rivers on exactly the same criteria. The physiographic diversity of stream segments contributes to distinct settings and to the suitability for some activities over others. Consequently, the river segments were inventoried and ranked on a regional basis. Although some Idaho rivers boast users from throughout the nation and the world, the river segments with primarily regional and/or local use are no less important as recreation resources. Use figures were not seen as an appropriate measure of a river's recreation value. User counts do not exist for most of the rivers that do not require permits. The number of users does indicate the popularity of a river segment, but tells nothing of the quality of experience. The best source of recreational data was thought to be from the recreation planners and managers of the region.

Three major criteria, land-based recreation opportunities, water-based recreation opportunities and scenic factors, were used to evaluate recreational resources on each stream segment. Land-based recreation included activities that occurred within 1000 feet of the river or stream. Other factors such as the accessibility, type of experience desired, water level, and difficulty also played a role in the assessment of the recreational value. Each criteria was evaluated using an inventory and ranking matrix which documented the physical attributes and activity opportunity characteristics of each stream segment. Assessment values for the individual study reaches were determined by group consensus at meetings held throughout the state.

The Land and Water Opportunity Use columns in the matrix describe the recreation opportunities that occur along the river segment. Potential developed recreation sites located by the Targhee National Forest in the 1985 Management Plan are listed in the final recreation column. Recreation potential was considered but not included in the Parks and Recreation inventory and evaluation. The Targhee National Forest has mapped potential recreation sites as a part of its Forest Management Plan (1985). The following definitions describe the recreational value rating:

**1 = "Outstanding" recreational resource**

An outstanding recreational resource may be due to a unique combination of attributes or to one specific characteristic that creates exceptional recreational opportunities for one or more activities. Outstanding resources would be described by recreation experts and the public as "blue ribbon" resources--the epitome or classic of its type of setting and/or experience. Recreationists may be willing to travel substantial distances or endure difficult access to use these resources.

**2 = "Substantial" recreational resource value**

This class describes recreational resources that are highly valued but do not offer the special characteristics found in outstanding recreational resources. These may be somewhat scarce opportunities in a region due to the limited suitability for certain opportunities or based on the special physical attributes of the river segment. These opportunities and/or settings are of a higher quality than the resources typically found in the region. These are very important recreational settings in the region.

3 = "Moderate" recreational resource value

Moderate recreational resources are typically available in the region. They have considerable recreational value, but the physical setting or experience opportunity may be considered standard for what is available in the region.

## **Development Use Values**

Several columns in the matrix identify other uses or concerns for specific river segments or streams. Hydropower sites are summarized from the Power Development section of the Henry's Fork basin plan. If the project is an active FERC filing, it is noted in the column to the right with an "A". Potential sites are noted with a "P". Potential irrigation water supply sites are summarized from the Water Supply section of the Henry's Fork basin plan. A priority classification for further study is noted in the column to the right. Stream segments adjacent to scheduled Targhee National Forest timber sales, and/or noted for flooding problems in the Henry's Fork basin plan, and/or designated as "Special Resource Waters", (see also Water Quality chapter), are marked with an "X" in the appropriate column of the matrix.

## **State Protection Eligibility Criteria**

The final matrix column identifies that the segment meets particular eligibility criteria for defining outstanding aesthetic, geologic, fish & wildlife, and recreational values. Eligibility for state protected river designation is based solely on the relative significance of the reach as a public resource, e.g., to be eligible for protection a reach must contain at least one "Outstanding" fish and wildlife, recreational, aesthetic or geologic value. After eligibility is determined, an assessment of the effects of designation on other identified resource uses is undertaken.

In order to highlight outstanding stream segments in the Henrys Fork basin, screening criteria were applied to the matrix values. The following criteria were developed:

### **#1 Fish & Wildlife**

Fishery Habitat & Abundance = 1; and  
Species of Concern = 1 or stream  
segment is spawning habitat; and  
Wildlife value = 2 or  
Critical use by wildlife

### **#2 Fish & Wildlife and Recreation**

Fishery Abundance & Angler Use = 1; and  
Habitat & Species of Concern minimum = 2; and  
Wildlife Value = 2; and  
Recreation value minimum = 2

### **#3 Aesthetics, Geology, and Recreation**

Recreation value = 1; or  
Scenic/natural linear features value = 1

## **River Segment Values**

Stream segments in the Henrys Fork basin that met criteria for outstanding fish and wildlife, recreational, aesthetic, and geologic resource values are described below.

### **Henrys Fork from Big Springs to Island Park Reservoir -**

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; scenic terrain and outstanding geologic features: - Big Springs, hot springs, and volcanics.

### **Henrys Fork from Island Park Dam to Harriman State Park -**

Outstanding fish habitat high fish numbers, and spawning use; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

### **Henrys Fork through Harriman State Park -**

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; scenic open vista and historic railroad ranch.

### **Henrys Fork from Harriman State Park to Riverside Campground -**

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; outstanding scenic and geologic features: - view of Teton Range, canyon environment. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use.

### **Henrys Fork from Riverside Campground to Hatchery Ford -**

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; scenic canyon environment. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; substantial angler use.

### **Henrys Fork from Hatchery Ford to Upper Mesa Falls -**

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; outstanding scenic and geologic features: - Sheep Falls, volcanics, canyon environment. Outstanding fishery habitat and abundance; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use.

### **Henrys Fork from Upper Mesa Falls to Lower Mesa Falls -**

Outstanding recreation value based on current land opportunities; planned recreational development at Mesa Falls; outstanding scenic/geologic features: - Upper and Lower Mesa Falls, canyon environment.

### **Henrys Fork from Lower Mesa Falls to Warm River -**

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities; outstanding scenic canyon environment. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; substantial angler use.

Henrys Fork from Warm River to Ashton Reservoir -

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; substantial angler use.

Henrys Fork from Ashton Dam to Chester Dam -

Outstanding fish habitat and high fish numbers; critical use by species of concern; outstanding wildlife habitat, and water-associated species present; high angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

Henrys Fork from Chester Dam to St. Anthony -

Outstanding fishery habitat and abundance; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; high angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

Henrys Fork from St. Anthony to Teton River confluence -

Outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern.

Buffalo River -

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; high fish species value; critical use by species of concern; outstanding wildlife habitat, and water-associated species present; substantial recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

Warm River from Split Creek to Warm River Spring -

Outstanding scenic canyon environment.

Warm River from Warm River Spring to mouth -

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; outstanding wildlife habitat and critical use by species of concern; outstanding scenic and geologic features: - canyon environment, hot springs; campground, trails, and scenic route provide recreation opportunities.

Falls River from Wyoming Border to Yellowstone Diversion -

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present; substantial angler use and outstanding recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

Falls River from Yellowstone Diversion to Conant Creek -

Outstanding recreational value based on current land and water opportunities. Outstanding fishery habitat and abundance; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern; substantial angler use.

Falls River from Conant Creek to mouth -

Outstanding fishery habitat and abundance; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present; high angler use and substantial recreation value based on current land and water opportunities.

Teton River from Spring Creek to Bitch Creek -

Outstanding fishery habitat and abundance, and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat, water-associated species present, and critical use by species of concern.

Duck Creek -

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; critical use by species of concern; high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat.

Timber Creek -

Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat and critical use by species of concern.

Targhee Creek -

Outstanding scenic/natural features in proposed Research Natural Area. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat and critical use by species of concern.

Howard Creek -

Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance and high fish species value; outstanding wildlife habitat and critical use by species of concern.

Robinson Creek -

Outstanding scenic canyon with hot springs. Outstanding fishery habitat and spawning area; high fish abundance; outstanding wildlife habitat; harlequin duck habitat.

Bitch Creek -

Outstanding fish habitat, high fish numbers, and spawning use; high fish species value; big game winter range.

[illegible]

**SPYC - Northwood Power Planning Council Ordinance**

**References**

**Abstract**

**Natural Feedback**

**FLY - Fish and Wildlife**

C - Cause	Effect
1. Poorly designed	1. Poorly designed
2. Poorly constructed	2. Poorly constructed
3. Poorly maintained	3. Poorly maintained
4. Poorly operated	4. Poorly operated
5. Poorly managed	5. Poorly managed
6. Poorly supervised	6. Poorly supervised
7. Poorly trained	7. Poorly trained
8. Poorly equipped	8. Poorly equipped
9. Poorly organized	9. Poorly organized
10. Poorly planned	10. Poorly planned
11. Poorly executed	11. Poorly executed
12. Poorly controlled	12. Poorly controlled
13. Poorly monitored	13. Poorly monitored
14. Poorly evaluated	14. Poorly evaluated
15. Poorly reported	15. Poorly reported
16. Poorly analyzed	16. Poorly analyzed
17. Poorly interpreted	17. Poorly interpreted
18. Poorly communicated	18. Poorly communicated
19. Poorly understood	19. Poorly understood
20. Poorly documented	20. Poorly documented
21. Poorly archived	21. Poorly archived
22. Poorly preserved	22. Poorly preserved
23. Poorly protected	23. Poorly protected
24. Poorly secured	24. Poorly secured
25. Poorly backed up	25. Poorly backed up
26. Poorly restored	26. Poorly restored
27. Poorly recovered	27. Poorly recovered
28. Poorly deleted	28. Poorly deleted
29. Poorly formatted	29. Poorly formatted
30. Poorly compressed	30. Poorly compressed
31. Poorly encrypted	31. Poorly encrypted
32. Poorly decrypted	32. Poorly decrypted
33. Poorly signed	33. Poorly signed
34. Poorly verified	34. Poorly verified
35. Poorly validated	35. Poorly validated
36. Poorly authenticated	36. Poorly authenticated
37. Poorly authorized	37. Poorly authorized
38. Poorly accounted	38. Poorly accounted
39. Poorly audited	39. Poorly audited
40. Poorly reviewed	40. Poorly reviewed
41. Poorly approved	41. Poorly approved
42. Poorly rejected	42. Poorly rejected
43. Poorly accepted	43. Poorly accepted
44. Poorly declined	44. Poorly declined
45. Poorly refused	45. Poorly refused
46. Poorly agreed	46. Poorly agreed
47. Poorly disagreed	47. Poorly disagreed
48. Poorly concurred	48. Poorly concurred
49. Poorly dissented	49. Poorly dissented
50. Poorly assented	50. Poorly assented
51. Poorly acquiesced	51. Poorly acquiesced
52. Poorly complied	52. Poorly complied
53. Poorly conformed	53. Poorly conformed
54. Poorly adhered	54. Poorly adhered
55. Poorly observed	55. Poorly observed
56. Poorly followed	56. Poorly followed
57. Poorly obeyed	57. Poorly obeyed
58. Poorly submitted	58. Poorly submitted
59. Poorly presented	59. Poorly presented
60. Poorly displayed	60. Poorly displayed
61. Poorly exhibited	61. Poorly exhibited
62. Poorly demonstrated	62. Poorly demonstrated
63. Poorly illustrated	63. Poorly illustrated
64. Poorly explained	64. Poorly explained
65. Poorly described	65. Poorly described
66. Poorly detailed	66. Poorly detailed
67. Poorly elaborated	67. Poorly elaborated
68. Poorly explicated	68. Poorly explicated
69. Poorly expounded	69. Poorly expounded
70. Poorly explicated	70. Poorly explicated
71. Poorly explicated	71. Poorly explicated
72. Poorly explicated	72. Poorly explicated
73. Poorly explicated	73. Poorly explicated
74. Poorly explicated	74. Poorly explicated
75. Poorly explicated	75. Poorly explicated
76. Poorly explicated	76. Poorly explicated
77. Poorly explicated	77. Poorly explicated
78. Poorly explicated	78. Poorly explicated
79. Poorly explicated	79. Poorly explicated
80. Poorly explicated	80. Poorly explicated
81. Poorly explicated	81. Poorly explicated
82. Poorly explicated	82. Poorly explicated
83. Poorly explicated	83. Poorly explicated
84. Poorly explicated	84. Poorly explicated
85. Poorly explicated	85. Poorly explicated
86. Poorly explicated	86. Poorly explicated
87. Poorly explicated	87. Poorly explicated
88. Poorly explicated	88. Poorly explicated
89. Poorly explicated	89. Poorly explicated
90. Poorly explicated	90. Poorly explicated
91. Poorly explicated	91. Poorly explicated
92. Poorly explicated	92. Poorly explicated
93. Poorly explicated	93. Poorly explicated
94. Poorly explicated	94. Poorly explicated
95. Poorly explicated	95. Poorly explicated
96. Poorly explicated	96. Poorly explicated
97. Poorly explicated	97. Poorly explicated
98. Poorly explicated	98. Poorly explicated
99. Poorly explicated	99. Poorly explicated
100. Poorly explicated	100. Poorly explicated

3 - Date

APR 1984 - Proposed National Highway Landmark

— Underlined data indicate rating of information update supplied by registered Idaho Fish and Game personnel, 1981.

**Legend**

C - Caking	Ss - Seaming
M - Migration	W - Weeping
N - Nesting	WT - Winter Stage

**Seasonal - Sandhill Crane**  
**Tissue - Tundra or Trumpeter Swan**

CG - Camper  
Cp - Camping  
Cs - Pressure Driving  
F - Fishing  
H - Hunting  
ORV - Off-Road Vehicles  
P - Picnicking  
S - Swimming  
T - Tiding  
Tr - Trail  
PB - Power Boat  
PB - First Boat  
Kayak  
Dory  
Rust

- Resident Fish
- Visitors







Aesthetic, Natural or Geologic	Scenic/NP Value	Scenic/Natural Features	Fish & Wildlife				Recreation	Land Opportunity/Use	Water Opportunity/Use	Potential Developed Site	Cultural Features Value	Hydropower Site	Potential Irrigation	Priority for Further Study	Adjacent Timber Sales	Designated "Special Resource Waters"	Designated River by Criteria Group #	
			Priority Habitat	Species of Concern	Abundance	Angler Use												Wildlife Value
Teton River-Trail Creek to Spring Creek			2	1	2	1	3	Eagle-W TShen-W SCane-N EK/D/Mo-WR	FEW									
Teton River-Spring Creek to Blotch Creek			1	1	1	2	1	Eagle-W TShen-W EK/D/Mo-WR	FEW	2	OpFH	FB	Outfitters					
Teton River-Blotch Creek to Canyon Creek			3	1	2	2	1	Eagle-W TShen-W EK/D/Mo-WR	2	2	OpFH	FB	Outfitters					
Teton River-Canyon Creek to North-South Branches			3	1	2	1	1	Eagle-W D/Mo-WR	FEW	3	OpF	FB	Outfitters					
North Branch Teton River to Henrys Fork Confluence			3	1	2	2	1	Eagle-W										
South Branch Teton River to Henrys Fork Confluence			3	1	2	2	1	Eagle-W										
Sand Creek	1 Hot Springs		2	2	1	3	1	SCane-N EK/D/Mo-C EK/D/Mo-WR										
Sheridan Creek			3	2	2	2	2	Eagle-W, N TShen-W, N SCane-N										

**Natural Features**

PHS - Proposed National Landmark  
PHSA - Proposed Research National Area

Undeveloped sites indicate rifting or information updates supplied by regional Idaho Fish and Game personnel, 1981.

**Fish and Wildlife**

D - Deer  
M - Moose  
SCane - Bannock Draw  
TShen - Teton or Transpolar Basin

C - Calf  
N - Noddy

**Recreation**

C - Camp  
CO - Campground  
Op - Camping  
Dr - Picnic Driving  
F - Fishing  
H - Hunting  
PHS - Picnic Building  
P - Picnic  
R - Riding

**NMPC - National Forest Planning Council Designations**

FEW - Fish and Wildlife  
F - Forest  
W - Wildlife

Natural Features: pRHS - Proposed Natural Landmark pRHS - Proposed Research Natural Area

Fish and Wildlife: D - Deer M - Moose S - Snake T - Trout W - Wolf

Recreation: C - Camping G - Golfing H - Hunting P - Picnicking R - Rowing S - Swimming T - Trail W - Water Sports

Hydropower: C - Concrete G - Gravel H - Hydraulic P - Power Plant R - Runoff S - Storage T - Turbine W - Water

Cultural or Historic: FWH - Fish and Wildlife P - Pottery W - Wildlife

Unpublished data indicates listing of information updates supplied by regional Idaho Fish and Game personnel, 1981.

Aesthetic, Natural or Geologic	Scenic/NF Value	Scenic/Natural Features	Fish & Wildlife					Recreation			Cultural or Historic		Hydropower Site	A. Lake FPC for P. Natural Use	Potential Inflow	Priority for Further Study	Adjacent Timber Sales	Designated Special Resource Waters	Eligible River by Criteria Group #
			Fishery Habitat	Species of Concern	Angler Use	Wildlife Value	Orchard Use	APPC Protected Area	Land Opportunity/Use	Water Opportunity/Use	Potential Developed Recreation Site	Cultural Features Value							
Isibouse Creek			1	2	3	2	2	W			near Bunkhouse Creek CR	2	ASH						
Sheep Creek						2	2	W											
Blue Creek			2			2	2	W				2				X			
Hotel Creek			1	2		2	2	W								X			
Yale Creek						2	2	W				2							
Duck Creek			1	1	3	2	2	FEW			near South Pt CR								1
Timber Creek			1	1	3	2	2	FEW											1
Tanghee Creek	1	SPMA	1	1	3	2	2	FEW			T16, P43, Sec 25								1
Howard Creek			1	1	3	2	2	FEW								X			1
Moose Creek			1	2	2	2	2	W	3		1mi SE Rd 232	A			Moose Cr				
Partridge Creek	1	Hot Springs	2	2	2	2	2						A			X			

## Natural Features

pink - Proposed National Natural Landmark  
pink - Proposed Research Natural Area

Undeveloped data indicate rating or information update  
suggested by regional Idaho Fish and Game personnel, 1991.

## Fish and Wildlife

D - Deer  
Mo - Moose  
EC - Elk  
SC - Sheep  
T - Trout  
C - Catfish  
M - Migration  
N - Nesting  
W - Winter Range

## Recreation

C - Canoe  
CC - Canyoneering  
CS - Camping  
D - Day Use  
H - Hunting  
K - Kayaking  
P - Picnicking  
R - Rafting  
S - Swimming  
T - Tubing  
Tr - Trail  
PG - Power Boating  
PB - Power Boating  
K - Kayaking  
D - Dory

## APPC - National Forest Planning Council Designations

FLW - Fish and Wildlife  
P - Potential Fish  
W - Wildlife





